

COURT No.2
ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH: NEW DELHI

1.

OA 4229/2024 with MA 4410/2024

Ex Sub Bhupinder Singh
VERSUS
Union of India and Ors.

..... Applicant
..... Respondents

For Applicant : Mr. Devendra Kumar, Advocate
For Respondents : Capt. Abhishek Kumar, OIC, Legal Cell

CORAM

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANU MALHOTRA, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE REAR ADMIRAL DHIREN VIG, MEMBER (A)

ORDER
19.12.2024

MA 4410/2024

This is an application filed under Section 22(2) of the Armed Forces Tribunal Act, 2007 seeking condonation of delay of 7607 days in filing the present OA. In view of the judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of *UoI & Ors Vs Tarsem Singh* 2009(1)AISLJ 371 and in *Ex Sep Chain Singh Vs Union of India & Ors* (Civil Appeal No. 30073/2017 and the reasons mentioned, the MA 4410/2024 is allowed and the delay of 7607 days in filing the OA 4229/2024 is thus condoned. The MA is disposed of accordingly.

OA 4229/2024

The applicant, vide the present OA makes the following prayers:

- (a) *Direct the respondents to refix the pension of the applicant in the rank of Sub wef his next date of discharge i.e. 01.06.2003*
- (b) *Direct respondents to pay the due arrears of pension with interest @12% p.a. from the date of retirement with all the consequential benefits.*
- (c) *Any other relief which the Hon'ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper in the fact and circumstances of the case alongwith cost of the application in favour of the applicant.*

2. Notice of the OA was issued to the respondents which is accepted on their behalf.

3. The applicant submits that he was enrolled in the **Indian Army** on **01.10.1982** and discharged from service on 01.06.2003 in the rank of Sub. after serving more than 20 years. The applicant was promoted to the rank of Subedar on 01.01.2003 vide Part-II Order No.0/238/0018/2003, however, the applicant was granted service pension of the rank of Nb Sub. vide PPO No. S/034178/2003 (Army) on the ground that he had not completed 10 months in the last rank of Subedar though he was entitled for

service pension of the rank of Sub. as per Letter No.1(1)/99/D(Pen/Sers) dated 07.06.1999 of the Ministry of Defence. The applicant submits that his representation dated 06.12.2023 for re-fixing his pension in the rank of Sub is yet to be replied to by the respondents. The applicant submits that as Letter No.1(1)/99/D(Pen/Sers) dated 07.06.1999 of the Ministry of Defence clearly stipulates that pension of all Armed Forces pensioners irrespective of their date of retirement shall not be less than 50% of the minimum pay in the revised scale of pay wef 01.01.1996 of the rank(in case of PBOR) held by the pensioner. The applicant further submits that Min of Def Letter No.B/39013/AG/PS-4(A & C) 131/A/(Pension/Services) dated 09.02.2001 further clarified that even if a person is not holding the last rank for 10 months then also, the pension will be fixed of the last rank. The applicant placed reliance on the order dated 13.02.2015 in OA No.62/2014 titled as *Ex JWO P. Gopalakrishnan Vs Union of India & Ors.* and a catena of other orders of this Tribunal. The applicant submits that as per Policy decision dated 09.02.2001 and 11.11.2008, the pension of all the retired Armed Forces Personnel has been revised on the basis of the rank/group last held by the individual and the requirement of 10 months service in the last rank held for all the officers and personnel below of the officer rank is waived off.

4. The respondents fairly do not dispute the settled proposition of law put forth on behalf of the applicant in view of the verdicts relied upon on behalf of the applicant.

5. It cannot be overlooked that the verdict dated 29.01.2010 of the Armed Forces Tribunal (PB) in TA 339/2010(WP(Civil) No.567/2002 of Delhi High Court) *Ex JWO Bharat Singh Khatana Vs Union of India & Ors.* whereby it was observed to the effect that:

“According to the provisions of the Armed Forces Rules, the incumbent has to serve at least 10 months on the last rank for full pension of that post but he was not given the pension of the last rank held by him i.e. JWO. Hence, petitioner aggrieved by this filed the present petition before the Hon’ble Delhi High Court which has been transferred to this Tribunal after formation of Armed Forces Tribunal and prayed that his pension may be given on the basis of last rank held by him. In this connection, petitioner has referred to a Notification dated 09.02.2001 whereby the Government of India, Ministry of Defence has issued a Circular implementing the Government decision on the recommendations of Fifth Pay Commission relating to pensionary benefits in respect of commissioned officers and personnel below officers ranks and in that it has been clarified that all Armed Forces pensioners irrespective of their date of retirement shall not get less than 50% of the minimum revised scale on pay introduced w.e.f. 01.01.1996. Since this was not being given by the PCDA, Allahabad and that matter came before the Government and the Government had clarified as under:

“It is clarified that pension of all pre-96 retiree Armed forces Personnel will be revised on the basis of the rank/group last held by the individual and the revised pay scales connected thereto, even if the rank/group was held for less than 10 months before

retirement. Such pension will be reduced proportionately if the qualifying service is less than 33 years. Other conditions to earn pension will continue to apply.”

In view of this clarification, we do not find any reason as to why petitioner should not be given pension of the last rank held by him for a period of 06 months. Therefore, condition of 10 months has now been waived by the government. Consequently, we direct that petitioner’s pension should be determined in the light of the aforesaid Circular and he may be given the pension @ 50% of the last post held by him as JWO. His pension should be worked out and arrears shall be paid to the petition with 12% interest. This whole exercise should be done within three months from today. Petition is according allowed. No order as to costs.”

has been upheld vide order dated 08.03.2016 of the Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Union of India & Ors. vs Ex JWO Bharat Singh Khatana* Civil Appeal no. 7366-7367/2011.

6. The judgments relied on behalf of the applicant make it apparent that pension cannot be declined to an individual for the rank he last held and rendered his services as laid down in *Thiagrajan vs UoI & Ors* in OA 93/2014 by the (RB) Chennai. The said statutory right already earned by the applicant cannot be reduced even if an undertaking is executed by him for the receipt of any lower pension in a lower rank from that what he last held. Vide a catena of cases it has been laid down

that the Defence personnel are entitled to the benefits of the last rank held by them even if it has been of a duration of less than 10 months.

7. Thus, the respondents are required to implement the calculation of pension of the applicant in the rank of MWO as he is similarly placed as the applicant in the case of *JWO P Gopalakrishnan vs UoI & Ors.* in OA 62/2014 decided on 13.02.2015, by the AFT(RB), Chennai and OA 1038/2017 in the matter of *Ex-JWO Krishna Moorthy K & Ors. Vs UoI & Ors.*

8. Inter alia, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Uttaranchal Forest Rangers' Assn. (Direct Recruit) v. State of U.P.*, (2006) 10 SCC 346 has adverted to its verdict in *State of Karnakata and Ors Vs C.Lalitha* (2006) 2 SCC 747 wherein it has been observed that service jurisprudence evolved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court postulates that all the persons similarly situated should be treated similarly.

9. The OA 4229/2024 is thus allowed and subject to verification of the date of enrolment and the rank held by the applicant on date of retirement, the respondents are directed as under:-

- (i) Calculate the pension of the applicant based on the last held rank by him before retirement i.e. Subedar and in consonance with the principles of calculation that have been upheld in *JWO Gopalakrishnan* in this regard; and

- (ii) The applicant be accordingly issued a fresh Corrigendum PPO in the last rank held by him within three months. However, the arrears are confined to commence to run from a period of three years prior to the date of institution of the OA. The applicant is granted liberty to seek redressal, if any, after orders of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in W.P.(C) 6815/2024 *qua* the aspect of the last rank pension being payable from the date of discharge and not from three years prior to the institution of the OA. The due arrears be paid accordingly, *failing which*, it shall carry interest @ 6% till actual payment.

10. No order as to costs.

(JUSTICE ANU MALHOTRA)
MEMBER (J)

(REAR ADMIRAL DHIREN VIG)
MEMBER (A)

/CHANANA/